

**REMARKS**

In the last Office Action claims 22 is objected to as being of improper dependent form for failing to limit the subject matter of a previous claims. Claim 22 has been canceled without prejudice in order to advance the prosecution of the present application.

Claims 1-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. Claims 1-4, 8, 10 and 11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Shin Caterpillar (JP-9-89488). Claims 1-3, 11, 18 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Case Styer (EP 10 098 073 A2). Claims 1, 2, 8, 9 and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Burris et al. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Clark et al. Claims 1-4, 18 and 22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by Weizenburger et al.

Claims 1-21 have been amended to overcome the noted indefiniteness. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of claims 4-7 therein and accordingly claims 4-7 inclusive have been canceled without prejudice in order to advance the prosecution in the present application.

Claim 1 as now amended specifically calls for a cooling system for a farm machine comprising a heat exchange assembly for receiving a cooling air flow in a direction substantially perpendicular to and end surface thereof. The assembly comprises a first and second radiators disposed substantially parallel to each other with an end face of the first radiator facing an end face of the second radiator so as to be passed through by said airflow. Hinging means are associated to the first radiator to enable the angular shift of the first radiator with respect to the second radiator between a respective operating position and a respective non operating position. The hinging means comprise at least an articulation arm angularly movable around a respective

rotation axis wherein the arm 43 has an inner cavity which constitutes a passage duct for fluid passing within the first radiator wherein the arm 43 has a first area coupled in a stationary way with a lateral surface of the first radiator and a second area coupled turnably with a support structure. The cavity has a first opening on the first area which is in communication with the first radiator and a second opening on the secondary with is in communication with a respective tube of said fluid, wherein the hinging means comprise two of said articulation arms, with one arm belonging to an inlet duct of said fluid into the first radiator and the other arm belonging to an outlet duct of said fluid from the first radiator.

The foregoing arrangement of the first and second arms which convey fluid into the first radiator and remove fluid from the first radiator respectively are not shown nor even remotely suggested by any of the prior art of record. In fact claims 5 and 6 were not even rejected on the basis of prior art in the last Office Action. Therefore it is respectfully requested that claims 1-3 and 8-21 be allowed and the application passed to issue forthwith.

If for any reason the Examiner is unable to allow the application in the next Office Action and feels that an interview will be helpful to resolve any remaining issue, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned Attorney for the purpose of arranging such an interview.

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: March 6, 2006

Respectfully submitted,



Robert V. Sloan

Registration No. 22,775